In re: Matthew Donofrio Application No.: 10/815,293

Filed: April 1, 2004

Page 10 of 11

REMARKS

This response is submitted in reply to the Official Action dated February 14, 2006 ("the Action"). Claims 1-27, 39-44, 46-62 and 66-73 are pending in the present application. In the Action, the Examiner required an election of one of the following species:

Species A1: shaping a semiconductor layer in a light-emitting element; or Species A2: shaping a semiconductor layer in a substrate;

If Species A2 is elected, the Examiner required that Applicant must further elect from the following subspecies:

Subspecies B1: the surface of the substrate is on a side of the substrate opposite to the light-emitting element; or

Subspecies B2: the surface of the substrate is on the same side of the lightemitting element;

as well as election of a subspecies of the shape of the geometric patterns, categorized in the following:

Species C1: geometric patterns comprising parabolic (sic);

Species C2: geometric patterns comprising different geometric patterns or randomization features; or

Species C3: geometric patterns comprising sinusoidal grooves.

Applicant hereby elects Species A2 for prosecution. Applicant further elects Subspecies B1 and Species C2 for prosecution. Claims 1, 4-7, 10-13, 15, 17, 19, 21-27, 39-43, 46-62, and 75 read on embodiments of Species A2, Subspecies B1, and Species C2. Claims 2, 3, 8, 9, 14, 16, 18, 20, 44 and 66-73 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Applicant agrees that unpatentability of claims directed to embodiments of a non-elected species/subspecies would not necessarily imply unpatentability of claims directed to the embodiments of the elected species/subspecies. Accordingly, this election is made without traverse.

Applicant submits that Claims 1, 7, 10, 11, 15, 22-25 and 75 are generic to all species/subspecies identified in the Action. Upon allowance of a generic claim, the Applicants respectfully request consideration of claims to additional species which are

In re: Matthew Donofrio Application No.: 10/815,293

Filed: April 1, 2004

Page 11 of 11

written in dependent form or otherwise include all recitations of an allowed generic claim.

Applicant has amended claim 4 to correct a typographical error.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above, Applicant respectfully submits that this application is now in condition for substantive examination, which action is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

David C. Hall

Registration No. 38,904

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A.

P. O. Box 37428

Raleigh, North Carolina 27627 Telephone: (919) 854-1400 Facsimile: (919) 854-1401

Customer No. 20792

Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on March 9, 2006.

Traci A. Brown